PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Progress in the calculation of purely leptonic $D_{(s)}$ – decay constants from lattice QCD

Justus Tobias Tsang*
Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics
School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Edinburgh
EH9 3JZ, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
E-mail: j.t.tsang@ed.ac.uk

We review recent progress in the calculation of the decay constants f_D and f_{D_s} from lattice QCD. We focus particularly on simulations with $N_f = 2+1$ and $N_f = 2+1+1$ and simulations with close to physical light quark masses.

9th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle
28 November - 3 December 2016
Tata Institute for Fundamental Research (TIFR), Mumbai, India

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

arXiv:1702.05360v1 [hep-lat] 17 Feb 2017

1. Introduction

In the Standard Model (SM) the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix is unitary. To test the unitarity of the second row of the CKM matrix requires the determination of the matrix elements $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$. Neglecting electromagnetic corrections, the SM decay rates of D and D_s mesons can be factorised into these CKM matrix elements, the decay constants f_D and f_{D_s} and known kinematic factors. Experimental measurements of the decay rates combined with non-perturbative calculations of $f_{D_{(s)}}$ from lattice QCD calculations hence allow for a determination of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$. The current global averages of $|V_{cq}|\, f_{D^+_{(s)}}$ are [1]

$$|V_{cd}|\, f_{D^+} = 45.91 \pm 1.05\,\text{MeV} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |V_{cs}|\, f_{D_s^+} = 250.9 \pm 4.0\,\text{MeV}. \tag{1.1}$$

On the lattice, the decay constants $f_{D_{(s)}}$ are extracted from the Euclidean matrix elements

$$\langle 0|\, \bar{c}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu q\, |P(p)\rangle = i f_P\, p_P^\mu \tag{1.2}$$

for the pseudoscalar mesons $P = D, D_s$ and $q = d, s$, respectively.

Lattice QCD calculations differ in many aspects: e.g. in the number of flavours N_f in the sea, the lattice gauge and fermion actions, the used lattice spacings and how these are determined, and the simulated pion masses. In all cases an inter- or extrapolation to physical quark masses (chiral inter/extrapolation for the light quark mass) as well as an extrapolation to vanishing lattice spacing (continuum limit) is required and only results which carried out these extrapolations will be considered.

In section 2 we will review the recent progress in the determination of $f_{D_{(s)}}$ from lattice QCD calculations. Finally, in section 3 we will conclude and give an outlook of current and ongoing calculations.

2. Lattice results

Most modern simulations use two degenerate light quarks and a strange quark in the sea sector ($N_f = 2+1$ flavour simulations) [2–7], but first results including a dynamical charm quark ($N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours) are available [8, 9]. Details of the ensembles used for these works are shown in figure 1. Results which appeared before 30 November 2015 are summarised in the most recent FLAG review [10]. A summary including more recent results is given in table 1. All these results are in good agreement with each other.

Currently there are only two published results with $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours in the sea sector [8, 9] and only two results which use ensembles with (near) physical pion masses [2, 8].

The most precise prediction to date is obtained by the FNAL/MILC collaborations [8] using $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours of highly improved staggered quarks (HISQ) in the sea and the valence sector, four lattice spacings and including ensembles with physical pion masses. The dominant systematic error in this work arises from the combined chiral and continuum limit extrapolation.

The ETM collaboration has also published a result with $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours [9] of twisted mass (TM) fermions in the sea sector. In the valence sector they use TM fermions for the light quarks and Osterwalder-Seiler fermions for the strange and the charm quark, resulting in a mixed



Figure 1: The $N_f = 2+1$ (left) and $N_f = 2+1+1$ (right) flavour ensembles which have recently been used for the calculation of $D_{(s)}$ meson decay constants. The vertical black dotted line corresponds to physical pion masses, the black star denotes the physical point, to which simulated data needs to be extrapolated.

N_f	Collaboration	f_D [MeV]	f_{D_s} [MeV]	m_π^{min} [MeV]	a [fm]	# a
$2+1$	RBC/UKQCD [2]	$208.7(2.8)\binom{+2.1}{-1.8}$	$246.4(1.3)\binom{+1.3}{-1.9}$	**139**	0.07-0.11	3
	JLQCD [3][1]	212.8(1.7)(3.6)	244.0(0.8)(4.1)	230	0.044-0.08	3
	χQCD [4]		254(2)(4)	300	0.08-0.11	2
	HPQCD [5]	208.3(1.0)(3.3)	246.0(0.7)(3.5)	245	0.08-0.12	2
	HPQCD [6]		248.0(2.5)	260	0.045-0.15	5
	FNAL/MILC [7]	218.9(11.3)	260.1(10.8)	230	0.09-0.15	3
$2+1+1$	FNAL/MILC [8]	$212.6(0.4)\binom{+1.0}{-1.2}$	$249.0(0.3)\binom{+1.1}{-1.5}$	**130**	0.06-0.15	4
	ETM [9]	207.4(3.8)	247.2(4.1)	210	0.06-0.09	3

Table 1: Summary of results for f_D and f_{D_s} with $N_f = 2+1$ and $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours in the sea sector. Where multiple results exist within the same setup, we only quote the most precise value. Where two errors are given, they correspond to the statistic and systematic errors, respectively. The only exception is the JLQCD result, where no full systematic error budget has been published yet. The second error quoted here arises from the scale setting only. The smallest value of the pion mass, that enters the calculations is denoted by m_π^{min}, a gives the range of lattice spacings and #a the number of distinct lattice spacings used in the calculation.

action setup. They first take the chiral continuum limit of f_{D_s}/m_{D_s} before extracting f_{D_s} in the continuum. Similarly, the double ratio $(f_{D_s}/f_D)/(f_K/f_\pi)$ is extrapolated to the physical point before extracting f_{D_s}/f_D and finally f_D from this. The dominant quoted error is an accumulation of the scale setting, statistics and all errors of inputs needed to eventually extract f_D.

Even though table 1 lists 6 different results for simulations with $N_f = 2+1$, these are based on only three distinct sets of gauge ensembles. In particular the results of refs [5–7] are based on overlapping subsets of the FNAL/MILC gauge ensembles using asqtad rooted staggered quarks. In 2010 HPQCD [6] used HISQ valence quarks to obtain a prediction for f_{D_s} on these ensembles.

[1] No full systematic error budget has been published yet. The second quoted error arises from the scale setting only.

Their dominant errors arise from the absolute scale setting (0.57%) which is of the same size as the combined error due to statistics and valence tuning. In 2011, the FNAL/MILC collaborations [7] used the Fermilab action for the charm quark, leading to comparably large heavy quark discretisation errors, which constitutes their dominant contribution to the final error and which is estimated to be 8.2 MeV and 8.3 MeV for f_D and f_{D_s}, respectively. Finally, in 2012 HPQCD made a prediction for f_D based on HISQ valence quarks on two lattice spacings [5]. The leading systematic error here arises from need of a chiral extrapolation to physical pion masses.

Refs [2, 4] use RBC/UKQCD's gauge ensembles with domain wall fermions. In 2015 the χQCD collaboration [4] published a prediction for f_{D_s} by using Overlap valence quarks. They quote three leading contributions to the total error that are of nearly similar size (0.8-1.0%): These are the statistical error (which includes discretisation errors and the chiral extrapolation), the quark mass dependence of the scale setting procedure and the limited reach in the heavy quark mass on the coarser set of ensembles. A new result by the RBC/UKQCD collaborations appeared in 2017 [2]. This result includes three lattice spacings as well as two ensembles with physical pion masses. Domain wall fermions are used for all quarks. Whilst the parameters of the domain wall action for valence light and strange quarks are kept the same as in the sea, the charm quarks are simulated with a slight modification resulting in reduced lattice artefacts [11, 12]. The leading systematic error is quantified using variations of the global fit, which simultaneously carries out the chiral and continuum limit extrapolation and the interpolation to the physical charm quark mass.

The JLQCD collaboration [3] recently presented results using stout smeared domain wall fermions in the sea and the valence sector. However, to date, only the systematic error due to the scale setting has been discussed.

The RQCD and ALPHA collaborations have recently presented a status update of a new calculation [13] with $N_f = 2+1$ flavours of non-perturbatively improved Wilson quarks using pion masses as low as 200 MeV and currently including two lattice spacings with $a = 0.064, 0.085$ fm. RBC/UKQCD also presented results with a choice of domain wall parameters that allows for further reach in the heavy quark mass [14].

To significantly improve lattice QCD predictions for $f_{D_{(s)}}$ beyond the percent level, electromagnetic corrections and isospin breaking will have to be considered. FNAL/MILC [8] presented a first estimate of the isospin breaking effect on the decay constant f_D. By considering valence light quark masses $m_l = (m_u + m_d)/2$ and $m_l = m_d$ they found $f_{D^+} - f_D = 0.47(1)_{\text{stat}} \left(^{+25}_{-\ 6}\right)_{\text{sys}}$ MeV.

3. Conclusions and outlook

A number of different lattice calculations with $N_f = 2+1$ and $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours have resulted in predictions for f_D and f_{D_s} which have started to reach percent precision. Used fermion actions include asqtad, HISQ, twisted mass and domain wall fermions in the sea. In the valence sector there is even more variation additionally including overlap, Fermilab and Osterwalder-Seiler fermions. However, the number of distinct underlying gauge configurations is still limited, as are calculations with $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavours and calculations with physical pion masses. Given that the dominant systematic error of most calculations arises from the chiral and continuum limit extrapolations, the use of physical pion masses and finer gauge ensembles will lead to improvements over the current precision.

Currently, the uncertainty on the extraction of the corresponding CKM matrix elements is limited by the experimental precision of 2.3% and 1.6%, respectively (cf (1.1)), so more experimental data is needed to constrain $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ more tightly.

Acknowledgments

JTT is supported by STFC, grant ST/L000458/1.

References

[1] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, C. Patrignani et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *Chin. Phys.* **C40** (2016) 100001.

[2] P. A. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, A. Jüttner, A. Khamseh, F. Sanfilippo and J. T. Tsang, *The decay constants* $\mathbf{f_D}$ *and* $\mathbf{f_{D_s}}$ *in the continuum limit of* $\mathbf{N_f = 2+1}$ *domain wall lattice QCD*, 1701.02644.

[3] B. Fahy, G. Cossu and S. Hashimoto, *Approaching the Bottom Using Fine Lattices With Domain-Wall Fermions*, *PoS* **LATTICE2016** (2016) 118, [1702.02303].

[4] Y.-B. Yang et al., *Charm and strange quark masses and* f_{D_s} *from overlap fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 034517, [1410.3343].

[5] H. Na, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, $|V_{cd}|$ *from D Meson Leptonic Decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 054510, [1206.4936].

[6] C. T. H. Davies, C. McNeile, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage, H. Na and J. Shigemitsu, *Update: Precision* D_s *decay constant from full lattice QCD using very fine lattices*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 114504, [1008.4018].

[7] FERMILAB LATTICE, MILC collaboration, A. Bazavov et al., *B- and D-meson decay constants from three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 114506, [1112.3051].

[8] FERMILAB LATTICE, MILC collaboration, A. Bazavov et al., *Charmed and light pseudoscalar meson decay constants from four-flavor lattice QCD with physical light quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 074509, [1407.3772].

[9] N. Carrasco et al., *Leptonic decay constants* f_K, f_D, *and* f_{D_s} *with* $N_f = 2+1+1$ *twisted-mass lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 054507, [1411.7908].

[10] S. Aoki et al., *Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics*, 1607.00299.

[11] Y.-G. Cho, S. Hashimoto, A. Jüttner, T. Kaneko, M. Marinkovic, J.-I. Noaki et al., *Improved lattice fermion action for heavy quarks*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 072, [1504.01630].

[12] P. Boyle, A. Jüttner, M. K. Marinkovic, F. Sanfilippo, M. Spraggs and J. T. Tsang, *An exploratory study of heavy domain wall fermions on the lattice*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 037, [1602.04118].

[13] S. Collins, K. Eckert, J. Heitger, S. Hofmann and W. Soeldner, *Charmed pseudoscalar decay constants on three-flavour CLS ensembles with open boundaries*, in *Proceedings, 34th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2016): Southampton, UK, July 24-30, 2016*, 2017. 1701.05502.

[14] P. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, A. Jüttner, A. Khamseh, F. Sanfilippo, J. T. Tsang et al., *Charm Physics with Domain Wall Fermions and Physical Pion Masses*, *PoS* **LATTICE2016** (2016) 278, [1611.06804].